

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

The Board of Supervisors County of San Luis Obispo San Luis Obispo, California

We have performed the procedure, noted below, which was agreed to by you, to the accounting records of the County of San Luis Obispo, solely to assist you in connection with a determination as to whether the schedule known as the Los Osos Landfill Financial Means Test Certification – Alternative I, is accurate as compared to the audited financial statements for that period. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

• We compared the information contained in the worksheet entitled "Alternative I" with the information contained in the audited financial statements.

Results:

There were no differences between the information reported in the worksheet entitled "Alternative I" and the audited financial statements of the County of San Luis Obispo for the year ended June 30, 2014.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified item. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the California Integrated Waste Management Board, Board of Supervisors, and management of the County of San Luis Obispo and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Roseville, California December 22, 2014

ALTERNATIVE I
(Omit if using Alternative II)

1.	Specify amounts of coverage Post closure Maintenance Costs	-	3,177,56		
	Corrective Action Costs		1,03 <mark>5,97</mark>		
	TOTAL COSTS		4,213,54	8	
2.	Is the local government currently in default on any outstanding general obligation	bonds?	Yes 🗌	No 💮	
3.	Does the local government have any outstanding general obligation bonds rated lo Baa by Moody's or BBB as issued by Standard and Poor's?			No 🔣	
4.	Has the local government operated at a deficit equal to five percent or more of tot revenue in each of the past two fiscal years?			No 🔝	
5.	Has the local government received an adverse opinion, disclaimer of opinion, or ot opinion from the independent certified public accountant (or appropriate State age auditing its financial statement as required under §22249(a)?	ency)		No 🔣	
If 2, 3, §2224	, 4 or 5 = YES, STOP! The local government is NOT eligible to assure its ob	ligation	ıs undei	r	
If 2,3,4	4, and 5 = NO, CONTINUE BELOW.				
6.	Sum of costs assured under §22249 (total of all costs shown above and including t numbered paragraphs of the letter to CIWMB)				
* 7.	Total annual revenue	409	409,850,000		
* 8.	Cash	139	,239,999	9	
*9.	Marketable securities	96	,748,001	1	
10.	Sum of cash and marketable securities (line 8 + line 9)	235	,988,000)	
*11.	Total expenditures	353	3,411,000)	
*12.	Annual debt service	\$ 6	5,070,000)	
13.	43% Threshold Limit on Assured Costs: Is line 6 = 43% or less of line 7?		Yes	No	
14.	Liquidity Ratio: Is line 10 divided by line 11 greater than or equal to 0.05?		Yes S	No 🗌	
15.	Debt Service Ratio: Is line 12 divided by line 11 less than or equal to 0.20?		Yes 📉	No 🗌	
being e	by certify that this letter is worded as specified by the California Integrated Waste Matexecuted in accordance with the requirements of Title 27, California Code of Regulations 1, Chapter 6, section 22249. JAMES P. ERB			and is	
Signatu					
CHIEF F	FINANCIAL OFFICER/AUDITOR-CONTROLLER 12-22-14				